

REMARKS:

Claims 1-27 are in the case.

In reply to the restriction requirement, the Applicant elects the prosecution of the Group 1, Claims 1-27 as well as the Sub-Group containing Claims 5, 6 and 9.

The non-elected Groups 2 and 3 including Claims 28-33 and 35-36 have been canceled subject to Applicant's right to file divisional applications covering these claims and the Sub-Group Claims 2-5, 7, 8 and 10-27 have all been amended to depend either directly or indirectly from elected Claim 5. Accordingly, all of Claims 1-27 are included in this election and the Examiner is requested to examine all of these claims as they have all been limited to the elected Sub-Group. Claim 1 is generic as indicated by the Examiner.

Any Sub-Group claims which have not been elected as originally filed, are also subject to Applicant's right to file a divisional application covering these non-elected species of the invention.

If for any reason the Examiner feels that this election has not been fully responsive, please call the undersigned so that any further election can be made on an expeditious basis.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
/PETER C MICHALOS/
Peter C. Michalos
Reg. No. 28,643
Attorney for Applicants
(845) 359-7700

Dated: January 21, 2008

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100
Customer No. 21706